Case 1:23-cv-07232-JLR Document 33 Filed 11/28/23 Page 1 of 3 Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

425 LEXINGTON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017-3954

TELEPHONE: +1-212-455-2000 FACSIMILE: +1-212-455-2502

Direct Dial Number +1-212-455-7358 E-mail Address mgarvey@stblaw.com

VIA ECF

The requested adjournment of the initial pretrial conference pending resolution of the motion to dismiss is GRANTED. SO ORDERED.

November 27, 2023

Dated: November 28, 2023

New York, New York

United States District Judge

Re: Zest Anchors, LLC V. Biomet 3i, LLC, Case No. 1:23-cv-07232-JLR

The Honorable Jennifer L. Rochon United States District Court for the Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Dear Honorable Judge Rochon:

We write jointly on behalf of all parties to the above-referenced matter. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1(d) and Paragraphs 1(A) and 1(F) of Your Honor's Individual Rules of Practice in Civil Cases, the parties respectfully request that the Court grant Plaintiff and Defendant an adjournment of the Initial Pretrial Conference (ECF No. 4). The Initial Pretrial Conference is currently scheduled for December 12, 2023 (ECF No. 28). The parties request an adjournment and extension to a date convenient to the Court to be determined following the Court's ruling on Defendant's pending Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint (ECF No. 23). This is the parties' second request for an adjournment or extension of time. The parties' first Letter Motion for Extension of Time was granted by this Court on September 27, 2023 (ECF No. 28).

The parties believe an adjournment of the Initial Pretrial Conference is warranted in light of Defendant's pending Motion to Dismiss. The parties do not wish to burden the Court, or each other, with proceedings and pre-conference submissions, as the determination of the Motion to Dismiss may obviate the need for burdensome discovery. *See Johnson v. New York Univ. Sch. of Educ.*, 205 F.R.D. 433, 434 (S.D.N.Y. 2002) (granting request for a stay of discovery pending resolution of the motion to dismiss "because the adjudication of the pending motion to dismiss may obviate the need for burdensome discovery"). Accordingly, the most efficient way to proceed is to adjourn the Initial Pretrial Conference and stay discovery pending resolution of the Motion to Dismiss. The parties further state that it is in the interest of judicial economy to wait until the pending Motion to Dismiss is resolved before proceeding with any further pre-trial conferences or pre-conference submissions.

November 27, 2023

The Honorable Jennifer L. Rochon

The parties thank the Court for its consideration of this request.

Respectfully submitted,

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP

/s/ Michael J. Garvey
Michael J. Garvey
Alison M. Sher

425 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (212) 455-2000 Facsimile: (212) 455-2502 mgarvey@stblaw.com alison.sher@stblaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Zest Anchors, LLC d/b/a Zest Dental Solutions

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

/s/ Jared B. Briant

Jared B. Briant (admitted pro hac vice)
1144 15th Street, Suite 3400
Denver, Colorado 80202
Telephone: (303) 607-3500
Facsimile: (303) 607-3600
jared.briant@faegredrinker.com

Lauren J.F. Barta (admitted pro hac vice) 90 South Seventh Street, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 766-7000 Facsimile: (612) 766-1600 lauren.barta@faegredrinker.com

Sophie J. Chau 1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41st Floor New York, NY 10036

Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

November 27, 2023

The Honorable Jennifer L. Rochon

Telephone: (212) 248-3140 Facsimile: (212) 248-3141 sophie.chau@faegredrinker.com

Attorneys for Defendant Biomet 3i, LLC, d/b/a ZimVie

cc: All counsel of record (by ECF)